

KANMANTOO-CALLINGTON COMMUNITY CONSULTATIVE COMMITTEE (KCCCC)
Master Planning Working Party meeting

DRAFT NOTES

6.00pm – 8.00pm
Tuesday 23rd May 2017
Callington Memorial Hall

In attendance

Fiona Challen
Harry Seager
Alistair Walsh
Lachlan Wallace
Bob Goreing

Guests

Sophie-Min Thomson
Craig Styan UCLondon
Xuejin (Jin) Zhu

Apologies

Bev Robertson
Garry Duncan
Carol Bailey
Ed Thomas
Kathy Schneider Roberts

1. Purpose of this meeting

This meeting concentrated on planning for the next KCCCC meeting (1st June 2017) which will include a feature item related to the actioning of ideas for enhancement of the mine's mine closure and completion plan to deliver greater benefits to the local community in a future after mining.

2. KCCCC leadership

It had previously been agreed (at the Master Planning Working Party meeting on 11th April 2017) that committee members would take a lead at the 1st June 2017 KCCCC meeting in supporting their particular areas of interest to help promote wider community input.

3. Background for guests

Sophie-Min Thomson, the recipient of the Playford Trust Scholarship, and Xuejin (Jin) Zhu, a student with the Future Industries Institute, were welcomed to the meeting.

Sophie and Jin each gave a short introduction to their work and the meeting discussed how these related to the upcoming KCCCC agenda.

Action: Sophie and Jin were invited to present a brief introduction to their work at the next KCCCC meeting (subject to their availability)

4. Draft Case Study

A DRAFT Case Study had previously been circulated to KCCCC members that provided a framework for the actioning process under the Master Plan. The framework was designed to allow for the KCCCC to add the results of its work as it goes along.

An update of this Case Study was tabled that included comments from Alistair. The meeting considered this document for purposes like the upcoming presentation to the Mt Barker District Council.

Action: Bob to table the Case Study at the next KCCCC meeting.

5. Priorities for action

A summary of ideas drawn from recent meeting notes was used to illustrate that a great deal of consultation and documenting of ideas related to mine closure had already been undertaken in what could be seen as a planning phase.

Master Planning Working Party meeting

The meeting agreed that the upcoming KCCCC meeting needed to be action-orientated in order to promote outcomes and raise the confidence of the community that tangible progress was being made.

Alistair explained how the mine closure and completion program was required to be included in the PEPR. He said that the PEPR sets out the environmental outcomes that must be achieved under this program along with measurement criteria and other checks and balances.

Alistair explained that the mine completion component of the PEPR (as distinct from mine closure) included on-going management and stabilisation elements for particular aspects of the mine operation like the Tailings Storage Facility. Alistair said that these considerations would run on after mine closure for as long as it took to meet the requirements under the PEPR and the total surrender of the mining lease back to the government would not be finalised until all requirements were met.

The meeting agreed that this was an important consideration and meant that proposals for actions to amend the mine closure and completion program (in the PEPR) needed to be closely tied to the timeline for this process. The meeting suggested that this timeline could be simplified to short, middle and longer term.

Action: Lachlan was asked to put together a timeline for the mine closure and completion process that showed milestones like:

Milestones	Terms	Possible years?
Mining finishes (mine closure)	Short term	
Processing of stored ore finishes (processing plant closes)	Middle term	
Key stabilisation milestones met (eg TSF)	Middle – Longer term	
Mining lease surrender	Longer term	

The meeting discussed a range of actions that had been proposed in the planning phase and how these may fit along the timeline.

A signature project

The idea of a signature project for the community was raised with two examples discussed:

Action	Term	Comment
A mural or other form of enhancement to the old BHP silos	Short	It is understood that this suggestion is outside the remit of the PEPR
A major mining facility like a Hall of Fame / Museum or education facility	Middle	This would likely require significant championing by an individual, company or industry group

Master Planning Working Party meeting

Jobs replacement or enhancement

It was felt that there was a need for early consideration of how local jobs for local community members currently working at the mine could be enhanced (by consolidating and building on existing jobs) or replaced.

Action	Terms	Comment
Seek advice from Council's Economic Development team	Short – Middle	It is understood that this suggestion is outside the remit of the PEPR but it is a matter that directly relates to the mine closure process and is important to the community

Foundation projects

Foundation projects have been identified through the planning process and will be supported by the work of Sophie and Jin. These are in the areas of natural environment and mine history and heritage tourism

Action	Term	Description
Natural environment	Short	<p>Map the native vegetation assets of the study area (on and near the mining lease) to include remnant vegetation, rehabilitation and SEB plantings and other programs of landowners including Landcare. This will not require amendments to the PEPR</p> <p>Consolidate contiguous plantings to provide habitat and encourage native fauna populations. This may require amendments to the PEPR</p> <p>Incorporate access trails to link up natural environment assets and allow for future access. This may / or may not require amendments to the PEPR</p> <p>Identify access trails for various purposes like walking, bike and horse trails and deliver fencing / stile and other mechanisms to minimise weed invasion on pristine sites for example. This may require amendments to the PEPR</p>

Action	Term	Description
Mine history and heritage tourism	Short	<p>Map the mine history and heritage assets of the study area (on and near the mining lease). Use modern technology like GPS phone app (self-populating and iterative methods). This will not require amendments to the PEPR.</p> <p>Incorporate access trails to link up mining history and heritage assets and allow for future access. This may / or may not require amendments to the PEPR</p> <p>Identify access trails for various purposes like walking, bike and horse trails and deliver fencing / stile and other mechanisms to minimise weed invasion on pristine sites for example. This may require amendments to the PEPR</p>

Master Planning Working Party meeting

Add-on projects

The aim of the foundation projects is to provide a foundation to build other projects over time.

The natural environment project may promote future initiatives like developing a bird watching trail. The foundation work will enhance a suggestion like this.

The mine history and heritage project will include Jin providing insight into tourism options in the future through her study. Jin, in her presentation, showed how initiatives like underground mining tours were incorporated in international examples of communities where mining history was part of their tourism offering. The foundation work will help identify opportunities for Kanmantoo / Callington and link these to potential amendments to the PEPR.

Action: Bob and Lachlan were asked to work together to produce a consolidated presentation picking up the points raised in the discussion above. The idea is that the KCCCC members would add their contribution to the respective key points at the KCCCC meeting and would comment on possible 'add on projects' that they can champion.

6. Other items that will be included on the agenda for the KCCCC meeting of 1st June 2017

Lachlan said that there were three other items that should be included on the KCCCC agenda for next week.

1. Recent blasting performance at the Kanmantoo Copper Mine
2. A solution to instability on a face of the main pit that will require blasting
3. Summary of Hillgrove AGM (25th May 2017)

The meeting agreed that these items should be included

7. The meeting closed at 8.00pm.