

KANMANTOO-CALLINGTON COMMUNITY CONSULTATIVE COMMITTEE (KCCCC)
Master Planning Working Party Meeting

DRAFT NOTES

4.30pm – 6.00pm
Wednesday 14th September 2016
at Callington Memorial Hall

In attendance

Garry Duncan
Carol Bailey
Harry Seager
Kathy Schneider Roberts
Bev Robertson
Ed Thomas
Alistair Walsh
Lachlan Wallace
Bob Goreing

Apologies

Fiona Challen
Greg Drew (guest from SA Mining History Group)

1. Progress since the last KCCCC meeting

The Chair tabled a summary of Actions from:

- Master Planning Working Party Meeting 30th June 2016
- KCCCC meeting 21 July 2016
- Focus meeting 10th August 2016

Key actions that had been progressed included:

- Harry had begun the process of developing preliminary GIS maps as a platform for an Action Plan (or Implementation Plan) based on ideas already developed in the draft Master Plan
- The Chair and Harry had met with Lachlan and John Crocker at the mine site. Outcomes from this meeting included:
 - agreement on the GIS format and identification of Harry (KCCCC) and John (Hillgrove) as the 'go-to' people from each group
 - agreement to treat the development of the draft Maps as a project with Hillgrove allocating \$2000 to the purpose of ensuring that those contributing as volunteers to this work would not be out of pocket. The aim was to cover expenses like software or travel or other costs.
 - agreement that Lachlan would look into the potential for a scholarship under the Playford Trust for a University Student from an appropriate discipline like spacial systems, land-use planning etc.

Lachlan reported that he had contacted the Trust and received an encouraging response. He was now putting together a brief for consideration by University faculties with first thoughts being that the task may be most relevant to Masters' students commencing studies in 2017. He went on the say that although this was next year, he

Master Planning Working Party Meeting

felt that results of this work could be available to the KCCCC relatively early in the study year.

- The Chair and Harry had met with Ted Tyne (Executive Director Minerals DSD), Greg Marshall and Alistair Walsh (also from DSD Minerals). The meeting was designed to:
 - raise awareness in DSD of progress on the Master Planning process and
 - clarify how the community and Hillgrove may propose changes to the mine closure and completion plan (contained in the PEPR) and how these would be considered by the regulator.

2. Progress on GIS Action Plan Maps

The Chair tabled a summary of the priority data and information that may be useful to support progressing the concept plan in GIS form (drawn from discussions in earlier meetings). These included:

Mining: current operations

- existing physical infrastructure
- buildings, plant and equipment
- utilities: electricity, water, ICT,
- current and future landscape design

Mining history and heritage

- mining and smelting history artefacts, relics and features
- 19th Century
- 20th century
- 21st Century (current operations)
- supporting community infrastructure like housing, service and supply for mining

Environment

- remnant vegetation
- non- Hillgrove vegetation programs (like Landcare)
- Hillgrove rehabilitation and SEB programs

Land tenure and zoning

- look into where Council maps (ie the MBDC Development Plan) may be useful in the identification of existing land tenure and zoning

Possible futures: example of scenario building

- horticulture and agriculture futures

The Chair said that this was an area where Greg Drew and the SA Mining History Group may play a role and explained that Greg was a late apology to this meeting due to the recent flooding.

Action: The Chair was asked to follow up with Greg Drew and identify how his group may be involved in the GIS mapping particularly of mining (and smelting) history and heritage.

Harry confirmed the range of relevant data on environmental assets including remnant vegetation, non- Hillgrove vegetation programs and Hillgrove rehabilitation and SEB programs. He said the focus of this information was the local area (that the KCCCC had previously identified) which was mainly on and around the mining lease. He said that this was appropriate considering amongst other things, the volume of data involved.

Master Planning Working Party Meeting

There was considerable discussion at the meeting related to land tenure (zoning under the Development Act and other forms of tenure such as mining lease, miscellaneous lease and others).

Action: Harry was asked to investigate with Council the underlying land zoning of the lease area and identify any potential barriers to community ideas for future land use that may be in conflict with this zoning.

Action: Alistair was asked to investigate the implications of land tenure under the Mining Act (eg Mining Lease, arrangements for infrastructure etc) that may provide potential barriers to community ideas for future land use. For example, the tenement surrender process may take some years and these leases may prevail in the meantime which may have implications.

3. Processing the ideas already received about future use in the area and involving the wider community through the Callington Show

The Chair tabled a consolidated list of the ideas for a future for the (Kanmantoo / Callington) area after mining. These had been collected during community consultation including:

- Callington Show 2015
- consultation on the MBDC Mt Barker 2035 draft Strategic Plan
- SA Mining History Group Workshop 2016
- Input to the Draft Master Plan (circulated in 2015)
- KCCCC meetings

The ideas list had been condensed to headings only. (A more complete description was also available).

The Chair said that Lachlan had provided a useful model for prioritising these ideas by placing them on a graph with axes:

- Ease of implementation (including cost / scale / ownership / regulation)
- Value to the community

The Chair offered another idea by tabling a description of projects described in three tiers which essentially divided the projects into:

- Tier 1: major projects led by substantial investment from the private and / or public sectors with strong commercial drivers
- Tier 2: significant projects led by the private and / or public sectors including projects involving facilitating infrastructure like utilities
- Tier 3: smaller scale projects that could be seen as alternatives to compliance obligations of the mine as it implements its mine closure and completion plan. The community can be involved in a leadership role consistent with the KCCCC terms of reference

An example of tier 1 that was discussed was a major Mining Showcase facility hosting a museum, conference and training facilities, Hall of Fame and interpretive (visitors) centre

An example of tier 2 was the potential for water, power or road access development to support new business, industry and or residential development in the area

Master Planning Working Party Meeting

An example of tier 3 was working with Hillgrove to preserve some access routes and car parking to provide and service walking and riding trails and a viewing platform over the mine workings for tourism activity.

The Chair said that a proxy for 'cost' could be the particular tiers to which ideas belong.

The meeting worked through a few examples of placing particular ideas on the axes of 'ease of implementation' and 'value to the community'. It was noted that the result was that ideas tended to group into four quadrants:

1. not easy to implement and low value to the community
2. easy to implement and low value for the community
3. not easy to implement and high value for the community
4. easy to implement and high value for the community

It was agreed that this exercise would make a useful contribution to the Callington Show where the KCCCC aimed to again involve more of the wider community in the Master Planning process.

Action: The Chair was asked to consult with the KCCCC to prepare a draft graph of the ideas against the two axes described above. This display would be used as the basis for the community to place a 'priority sticker' on the graph to identify their preferences and offer other comment.

5. Meeting close

The meeting closed at 6.00pm.